Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about?(Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Urban code. (click here for council areas)

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory)Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name
Jane Bange

Company
City of Mitcham Councillor

Address

Suburbs/Town
Glenalta

State
SA

Postcode
5052

Country
Australia

Email Address

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with?
Local Government

Q4 Would you like to make comment on
Respondent skipped this question
Q5 Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation
Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Enter your feedback for Referrals
Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Enter your feedback for Mapping
Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments
Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q9 Please enter your feedback for overlays click next at the bottom of the page for next topic
Hazards (Bushfire - Medium Risk) Overlay
My personal property is located in a medium risk bushfire and I would like to see stronger protection for trees on private land. Many trees seem to liable for removal based on bushfire threat. Yet it is the very character of the Mitcham Hills, with its significant tree canopy and leafy appearance that drew us to live here in the first place (in 2014, arriving from Tasmania). I think there needs to be a better balance as, currently, there appears to be little, if any protection for significant trees on private land in our suburb. we are losing significant canopy cover and blocks are often clear-felled for redevelopments, with much of the new planting being exotics, not natives. This will significantly change the character of the Mitcham Hills and not for the better.

Page 7: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q10 Please enter your feedback for zones and subzones click next at the bottom of the page for next topic
Township Main Street Zone
For our main shopping centre of Blackwood, I think higher densities and height should be confined to the areas adjacent the main road and shopping centres. Asian and European models of ‘shop-top housing’ would work here but should not spread to suburban streets.
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Q11 Please enter your feedback for general policy click next at the bottom of the page for next topic
Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Planning and Design Code for South Australia
**Q12** Please enter your feedback for Land use
Definition
click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

---
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**Q13** Please enter your feedback for Admin
Definition
click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

---
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**Q14** Please enter your general feedback here

Development in Hills face zones should be required to be minimally intrusive visually, through limits on height, bulk and scale, and sensitive use of colour designed to blend in with the vegetation. Instead, we have seen blocky, multi-story, medium density development, coloured a very pale cream (eg latest stage development at Kalyra Communities, Belair Rd, Belair) which are visually intrusive and more suited to a location such as Brighton or Glenelg than Belair.

---
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**Q15** Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)

Respondent skipped this question