Attached is my comment on the above.

I have built in Black Forest twice - both times on small blocks cut off from original houses and in each case single level instead of the pre approved double storey 'McMansions", so although I approve of sub-divisions that allow for smaller homes and gardens - in my case for older people, I am concerned that higher density builds will spoil the suburb.

I don't think it is appropriate to have multi storey builds on tiny blocks such as those appearing all over Plympton.

Sincerely

Norma Cullen.
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Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed new Planning & Design Code, Phase 3.

**Background**

I live in Black Forest, which is home to a community of middle income professional, and business families who have invested their savings to live in a quality area.

As per the current City of Unley Development Plan, our zone is defined as RB350.

**Proposed New Planning & Design Code – A fundamental change for Black Forest!**

Under the proposed new Planning & Design Code, the current RB350 zoning for Black Forest, Clarence Park (west of East Avenue) and part of Everard Park is being transitioned into the General Neighbourhood Zone. This transition is Not "like for like" and is at odds with our surrounding suburbs, currently zoned RB350 also, which are being transitioned into Suburban Neighbourhood Zones (1).


**Proposed Amendment to the Change to Black Forest Zoning, Submitted For Consideration**

I propose that Black Forest/Clarence Park (west of East Avenue) be transitioned to the Suburban Neighbourhood Zone, with the current RB350 numerical values being used for the Technical Numerical Variation overlay, as supported by the City of Unley submission.

*Note: This proposal is consistent with the proposed new zoning of surrounding suburbs and provides a transition with little change, as pre-empted by Stephen Knoll, Minister for Planning, Transport and Infrastructure.*

Such a proposed amendment will ensure a “like for like” transition into the new zoning arrangements and would address most of the contentious issues described below.

**Impacts of Proposed New Zone on Black Forest/Clarence Park**

The main contentious differences are:

1. **Dwelling Size.** The dwelling footprint is being reduced from 350m² to 300m². This will accelerate the rate of land subdivisions and lead to an unsustainable increase in the number of dwellings.

2. **Setbacks.** The front setbacks have been halved, from 10m to 5m. The side and rear setbacks have been left out of the proposal, leading to uncontrolled and controversial developments.

3. **Privacy.** The proposed decrease of sill height from 1.7m to 1.5m will erode further the privacy of individuals and impact family life.

4. **Sustainability.** The increased housing density will accelerate, impacting on liveability and sustainability by reducing tree cover and private open spaces. This will drastically increase urban heat, impacting further on quality of life. The City of Unley already has the least amount of public open space in the whole metropolitan area.

5. **Street Appearance.** There will be a growing pressure to remove street trees to make way to new garages access and carports, again increasing urban heat.

6. **Serviceability.** A population explosion will strain established infrastructure. Any upgrades required to accommodate the increased population density would be very onerous and extremely difficult to provide within the confines of the existing landscape (traffic flow in traditionally narrow streets, street parking, provision of utilities, NBN capacity, etc.).

To summarise, it is clear from the above points that Black Forest, Clarence Park (west of East Avenue) and part of Everard Park will be severely affected by the proposed changes. This is in contradiction to the assurances of Hon Stephen Knoll, Minister for Planning, Transport and Infrastructure, that the transition to the new zoning will occur with little or no change.