Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about? (Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Statewide code

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory) Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name
Gary Goland
Company
Mr.
Address
Oaklands Park
Suburbs/Town
State
SA
Postcode
5046
Country
Australia
Email Address

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with?
Community Group

Q4 Would you like to make comment on
General comments
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Feedback Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>Enter your feedback for Referrals  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>Enter your feedback for Mapping  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>Please enter your feedback for overlays click next at the bottom of the page for next topic  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>Please enter your feedback for zones and subzones click next at the bottom of the page for next topic  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>Please enter your feedback for general policy click next at the bottom of the page for next topic  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>Please enter your feedback for Land use Definitions click next at the bottom of the page for next topic  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitions click next at the bottom of the page for next topic  Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q14 Please enter your general feedback here

I make this submission with concern with the current problems associated with the individual Council Development Plans, but do not see any direct improvement in the establishment of the new planning law and policies. The legal system as exists in south Australia, is blind to what is happening now in our community, and what has been experienced in every other part of the world, intent on growing irrational initial economic stimuli for some. The proposed legislative change fails to recognize critical integration in delivering living value for life in urban areas. The singular focus on economic gains for some is inevitably going to deliver disregard and damage to the environment, and inherent quality of life for the primary occupants living already with constant stress. Deletion of development zones and disregard for the critical separation of conflicting activities in an urban area will increase the health incidence for everything living within our urban space, human and beyond. My strong concern is the failure of legislative connection to the critical measurable elements in a sustainable future; social, economic, environmental and cultural. So many facts and data available, and none of it on the table for the isolated sections within government. The left hand not telling the right hand what it is doing, and here we go again.

With development zoning there exists superficial correlation of local activity with development that contains reference to reducing public and environmental risk with urban growth. Without this in local areas there will be consequences directly related to inadequate consideration of primary public health and environmental factors in urban policy zoning. For the market system to decide all, and inevitable greater legal challenge, the proposal seems directly connected to priority for legal business benefit, but containing injustice and immorality for all subjected to it. With existing zoning systems being disregarded with noncompliant change, the local government system is certainly one of disregard, and again, with no Planning department involvement in noncompliant issues, it is all a legal game for the benefit of the legal system, and no other aspect of life in urban areas. Why I have heard from many, critical to the decision to vacate South Australia.

Introduction of the proposed legislation will inevitably deliver what has been seen in other capital cities in so many parts of the world, making life riskier, more stressful and harmful in the long term. Environmental effects already illustrated with the total disregard of the Significant Tree protection. Minister Knoll's personal authority in the Dept of Transport, Planning and Infrastructure, has seen the random removal of hundreds of significant trees, many existing in location for hundreds of years, without any consideration of their real value to wellbeing in our community and wildlife, or living environment. This disregard will impede rational economic development of urban areas in the future. It is political imposition without reasonable representative regard. Given the interactive nature of both environmental effects and the remedial policies available operational in other parts of the world to tackle them, our community is desperate to see preferable consideration for the application of a multiportfolio policy measures rather than pursuance of a single problem/target strategy with small mindset.
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Q15 Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)

Sustainable urban development and the challenge of policy, 2009.pdf (191.7KB)