

From: [REDACTED]
To: [DPTI:Planning Reform Submissions](#)
Subject: RE: Submission on Phase 2 of the Code
Date: Thursday, 28 November 2019 2:38:44 PM

RE: Submission on Phase 2 of the Code

Our Main Points

1. *The relevant mapping and overlays in Conservation Zone are not easily accessed and find the on line version of the draft Code confusing and difficult to understand.*
 2. *Significant omissions and mistakes noted in the overlay maps and zone*
 3. *The Significant and Regulated tree overlay for the whole state needs to be accurate and incorporated into Biodiversity and Climate Change policies*
 4. *I support that a revised, clearer and accurate version of the Code be peer reviewed and accessible to the public for comment before being ‘activated’.*
 5. *The Conservation Zone should not have merit assessed for alternative energy facilities – this use apart from where physically contemplated in a park management plan should be restricted . It currently is identified as a suitable development in the Conservation Zone, but not in the Significant Landscape Character overlay.*
 6. *Align the Significant and Regulated tree provisions as part of biodiversity.*
 7. *Planning for all rural zones should include considerations of Biodiversity.*
 8. *Native Vegetation-Support lodgement of development application after contact with Native Vegetation Council (NVC)*
 9. *NVC advice to advocate designing with a view to retention, not removal before designing.*
 - a. *Offsets for NV removal; note revegetation does not substitute for mature tree replacement mallee trees for example which take 100s of years to grow – how should these be valued re hydrological aspects?*
 - b. *Greybox Gum trees are an endangered species – should be included as a tree worthy of retention.*
- . Tree shortages - I Support more accurate valuations on mature tree benefits. For example, Dr Jennifer Gardner of Waite Institute is involved in Waite Arboretum trees being valued – on the basis of species, size, location, environmental benefits. Although only half way through the survey of existing trees in the Arboretum, the estimated value of mature trees to date is \$13,000,000. As all trees in the Arboretum have grown without watering, the use of the trees values for urban plantings provide a better range of species selection for the planting of an urban forest in the Greater Adelaide Area.*