

---

**From:** Donna Moore [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 26 November 2019 11:31 AM  
**To:** DPTI:Planning Reform Submissions  
**Subject:** Draft Planning and Design Code for Phase Two and Phase Three

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to you with utter disappointment and disgust that the planned measures to remove the ability of local communities to determine the future development of the areas in which they live are planned to be eroded by the incumbent State government. The State government already has the remit to make final decisions on large scale developments, this current model should remain, it is working and does not require an overhaul for overhaul sake only to ensure a Liberal government is making a mark for itself in its first term. Further, the plans seek to increase charges to the developer which are collected directly from the State government. The proposals do not clearly demonstrate how the local Council areas will be compensated through the charging systems in a way that would compensate that community for the imposition of a development that would not normally be approved by an LGA in accordance with their Development Plan. I also question the integrity of the State government in not having their own developments being overseen by an independent review panel. Local communities wind up paying the costs of inappropriate development with reduced livability, increased traffic, poorly constructed infrastructure, increased demand on already stretched services and all of which lead to increased rate charges by local Councils. For which they are demonised by the local community and set upon by the State government when announced. I can not see how this proposal adequately seeks to educate or compensate the community in these circumstances.

I am further disgusted by the proposal to reclassify public lands in rural areas from conservation declared National Park and Wildlife National Parks, Conservation Parks, Recreation Parks, Heritage Agreements, ForestrySA Native Vegetation Reserves, Sanctuaries with native vegetation and have them rezoned to Rural lands. The state of South Australia has a long legacy of caring for it's natural environment, and the agreements and covenants that protect these lands has ensured the success of conservation in the state. Why would you wish to erode those protections, as this proposal very clearly seeks to do? All

Kind regards,  
Donna Moore