Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about? (Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Statewide code

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory) Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name
Angus Nicholson

State
South Australia

Postcode
5161

Country
Australia

Email Address
[redacted]

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with?
General Public

Q4 Would you like to make comment on
Specific Topics for example:
- Rules of Interpretation
- Zones and Sub-zones
- Overlays
- General Provision
- Mapping Land Use Definitions
- Administrative Definitions
- Referrals
- Table of Amendments
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Q5 Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Enter your feedback for Referrals

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Enter your feedback for Mapping

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments

Respondent skipped this question
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Q9 Please enter your feedback for overlays

Click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Native Vegetation Overlay

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
Q10 Please enter your feedback for zones and subzones click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Conservation Zone

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
Many current conservation parts appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
Q11 Please enter your feedback for general policy
click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Open Space and Recreation General Policy

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Q12 Please enter your feedback for Land use
Definition

Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitions

Native vegetation

Many current conservation parts appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
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Q14 Please enter your general feedback here

Many current conservation parts appear to have been re-zoned into things such as ‘rural living’, ‘hills face’, ‘open space’ or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.
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Q15 Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)  
Respondent skipped this question