

State Planning Commission

By email: [DPTI.PlanningReformSubmissions@sa.gov.au](mailto:DPTI.PlanningReformSubmissions@sa.gov.au)

To Whom it May Concern

Please note that this submission has been provided to me and I totally agree with all of its contents and I am totally disgusted with the proposed reforms and demand that Linden Park be considered as a Suburban Neighbourhood zone, rather than a General Neighbourhood zone. My concerns are not only viewed by myself and family, but by our neighbour's and the wider Linden Park community. I Hope careful consideration is given for a favorable outcome and keep Linden Park Green.

### **SUBMISSION ON PLANNING & DESIGN CODE - PHASE 3 (City of Burnside)**

In response to the draft Planning and Design Code – Phase 3, which is currently out for public consultation, I wish to register my strong objections to a number of issues as summarised below.

#### 1. General Neighbourhood Zone

The draft Code places RPA21 of my Beaumont Ward, in the General Neighbourhood Zone. The policy in this new zone is entirely at odds with current zone policy and allows for a far greater intensity of development than existing. The current zone focuses on preserving character rather than accommodating change and infill and does not envisage a greater range and intensity of development. I request that you move all residential areas to the Suburban Neighbourhood Zone with TNVs to match existing conditions.

#### 2. All Existing Residential Areas

- a) Non-Residential land use: Currently in the City of Burnside's residential areas, shops, offices and educational establishments are non-complying. In the new Code existing residential areas will allow these non-residential uses which will adversely impact traffic, parking, noise, neighbour's amenity and the character of our suburbs. This is unacceptable. All uses which are currently non-complying in our residential areas (eg. office and shop) should be "restricted development". Alternatively, a new zone should be created purely for residential land use.
- b) Siting and Setbacks: Under the Code, building setbacks from side and rear boundaries will noticeably decrease, particularly at upper levels. This is unacceptable and will severely impact amenity and privacy. Existing siting, setback and floor area criteria should be maintained throughout all our residential areas.
- c) Density and Allotment Sizes: The draft Code contains a number of errors and omissions. It is important that current minimum allotment sizes, heights and frontage widths match existing.

#### 3. Historic Area Overlay

The lack of identification of Contributory Items in the Code, by either a map or list of addresses, will create uncertainty and confusion for owners, prospective buyers, neighbours and developers. Existing protections and identification of Contributory Items should be maintained.

#### 4. Commercial Centres

The Code places large scale centres in the same zone as small local shops, allowing large scale development and more intensive land uses throughout all these areas. This is inappropriate. A hierarchy of centres should be maintained. Additional zone(s) are needed to cater for the lower intensity local centres, particularly in older established areas.

#### 5. Public Notification

The Code should reflect the City of Burnside's current Development Plan policy with respect to the notification of neighbours and the public. The Code should include notification for all development that increases

development intensity, including additional dwellings on the site, two storey development, earthworks where new dwelling is located 600mm above ground level, and change of use from residential to non-residential.

#### 6. Tree Canopy and Climate Resilience

The 30-Year Plan calls for an increase in tree canopy cover, however, the draft Code works directly against this by facilitating larger developments and the easier removal of trees on both private and public land. This will result in a significant reduction in canopy cover, habitat loss and climate resilience, due the increased infill development opportunities, reduction in minimum site areas, site coverage, setbacks and increased number of street crossovers.

Unless the above issues are addressed and the draft Code is amended to reflect these concerns, there will be an unacceptable loss of local character and amenity in my neighbourhood.

I trust that the concerns detailed above will be given your full consideration.

Yours sincerely

Frank Ghion – concerned Linden Pk Resident

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web\_Link\_Prod (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, February 26, 2020 9:08:45 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, February 26, 2020 9:44:58 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:36:13  
**IP Address:** [REDACTED]

Page 1: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q1** Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about?(Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

**My submission relates to Urban code. (click here for council areas)**

Page 2: Planning and Design Code for South AustraliaPersonal Details

**Q2** Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory)Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name **Frank Ghion**  
Suburbs/Town **linden Park**  
State **SA**  
Postcode **5065**  
Country **Australia**  
Email Address [REDACTED]

Page 3: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q3** Which sector do you associate yourself with? **General Public**

Page 4: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q4** Would you like to make comment on **General comments**

Page 5: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q5** Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q6** Enter your feedback for Referrals

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q7** Enter your feedback for Mapping

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q8** Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q9** Please enter your feedback for overlaysclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 7: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q10** Please enter your feedback for zones and subzonesclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 8: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q11** Please enter your feedback for general policyclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 9: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q12** Please enter your feedback for Land use Definitionclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 10: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q13** Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitionsclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 11: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q14** Please enter your general feedback here

Have grave concerns that the State Planning Commission has determined that Linden Park is to be rezoned from a Suburban Neighbourhood zone to a general Neighbourhood one. This will have a severe impact on our minimum allotment sizes, site boundary setbacks, site front widths and landscaping and streetscape. This will also have a great impact on closer concentration of housing, more street congestion and street parking, more pollution and less green space. I also believe there will be a huge impact on our current infrastructure and essential services.

---

Page 12: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

**Q15** Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)      Respondent skipped this question

---