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Electrical industry’s ‘dirty secret’ boosts warming

Credit: By Matt McGrath, Environment correspondent, 13 September 2019, bbc.com

The expansion of electrical grid connections [due to wind, solar, and gas] has increased use of SF6.

It’s the most powerful greenhouse gas known to humanity, and emissions have risen rapidly in recent years, the BBC has learned.

Sulphur hexafluoride, or SF6, is widely used in the electrical industry to prevent short circuits and accidents.

But leaks of the little-known gas in the UK and the rest of the EU in 2017 were the equivalent of putting an extra 1.3 million cars on the road.

Levels are rising as an unintended consequence of the green energy boom.

Cheap and non-flammable, SF6 is a colourless, odourless, synthetic gas. It makes a hugely effective insulating material for medium and high-voltage electrical installations.

It is widely used across the industry, from large power stations to wind turbines to electrical sub-stations in towns and cities. It prevents electrical accidents and fires.

However, the significant downside to using the gas is that it has the highest global warming potential of any known substance. It is 23,500 times more warming than carbon dioxide (CO2).

Just one kilogram of SF6 warms the Earth to the same extent as 24 people flying London to New York return.

It also persists in the atmosphere for a long time, warming the Earth for at least 1,000 years.
So why are we using more of this powerful warming gas?

The way we make electricity around the world is changing rapidly.

Where once large coal-fired power stations brought energy to millions, the drive to combat climate change means they are now being replaced by mixed sources of power including wind, solar and gas.

This has resulted in many more connections to the electricity grid, and a rise in the number of electrical switches and circuit breakers that are needed to prevent serious accidents.

Collectively, these safety devices are called switchgear. The vast majority use SF6 gas to quench arcs and stop short circuits.

“As renewable projects are getting bigger and bigger, we have had to use it within wind turbines specifically,” said Costa Pirgousis, an engineer with Scottish Power Renewables on its new East Anglia wind farm, which doesn’t use SF6 in turbines.

“As we are putting in more and more turbines, we need more and more switchgear and, as a result, more SF6 is being introduced into big turbines off shore.

“It’s been proven for years and we know how it works, and as a result it is very reliable and very low maintenance for us offshore.”

How do we know that SF6 is increasing?

Across the entire UK network of power lines and substations, there are around one million kilograms of SF6 installed.

A study from the University of Cardiff found that across all transmission and distribution networks, the amount used was increasing by 30-40 tonnes per year.

This rise was also reflected across Europe with total emissions from the 28 member states in 2017 equivalent to 6.73 million tonnes of CO2. That’s the same as the emissions from 1.3 million extra cars on the road for a year.
Researchers at the University of Bristol who monitor concentrations of warming gases in the atmosphere say they have seen significant rises in the last 20 years.

“We make measurements of SF6 in the background atmosphere,” said Dr Matt Rigby, reader in atmospheric chemistry at Bristol.

“What we’ve seen is that the levels have increased substantially, and we’ve seen almost a doubling of the atmospheric concentration in the last two decades.”

Why should we worry about SF6?

1kg of SF6 is equivalent to 23,500kg of CO2

SF6 emissions in Europe were the equivalent of

6.73 megatonnes of CO2 in 2017

This represents the volume of greenhouse gas emissions from

1.3m cars for a year

There was an

8.1% increase in SF6 emissions across Europe in 2017

Based on five-year percentage change

Source: European Environment Agency
How does SF6 get into the atmosphere?

The most important means by which SF6 gets into the atmosphere is from leaks in the electricity industry.

Electrical company Eaton, which manufactures switchgear without SF6, says its research indicates that for the full lifecycle of the product, leaks could be as high as 15% – much higher than many other estimates.

Louis Shaffer, electrical business manager at Eaton, said: “The newer gear has very low leak rates but the key question is do you have newer gear?

“We looked at all equipment and looked at the average of all those leak rates, and we didn’t see people taking into account the filling of the gas. Plus, we looked at how you recycle it and return it and also included the catastrophic leaks.”

How damaging to the climate is this gas?
Concentrations in the atmosphere are very small right now, just a fraction of the amount of CO2 in the air.

However, the global installed base of SF6 is expected to grow by 75% by 2030.

Another concern is that SF6 is a synthetic gas and isn’t absorbed or destroyed naturally [emphasis added]. It will all have to be replaced and destroyed to limit the impact on the climate.

Developed countries are expected to report every year to the UN on how much SF6 they use, but developing countries do not face any restrictions on use.

Right now, scientists are detecting concentrations in the atmosphere that are 10 times the amount declared by countries in their reports. Scientists say this is not all coming from countries like India, China and South Korea.

One study found that the methods used to calculate emissions in richer countries “severely under-reported” emissions over the past two decades.

Why hasn’t this been banned?

SF6 comes under a group of human-produced substances known as F-gases. The European Commission tried to prohibit a number of these environmentally harmful substances, including gases in refrigeration and air conditioning, back in 2014.

But they faced strong opposition from industries across Europe.

“In the end, the electrical industry lobby was too strong and we had to give in to them,” said Dutch Green MEP Bas Eickhout, who was responsible for the attempt to regulate F-gases.

“The electric sector was very strong in arguing that if you want an energy transition, and you have to shift more to electricity, you will need more electric devices. And then you also will need more SF6.

“They used the argument that otherwise the energy transition would be slowed down.”
What do regulator and electrical companies say about the gas?

Everyone is trying to reduce their dependence on the gas, as it is universally recognised as harmful to the climate.

In the UK, energy regulator Ofgem says it is working with utilities to try to limit leaks of the gas.

“We are using a range of tools to make sure that companies limit their use of SF6, a potent greenhouse gas, where this is in the interest of energy consumers,” an Ofgem spokesperson told BBC News.

“This includes funding innovation trials and rewarding companies to research and find alternatives, setting emissions targets, rewarding companies that beat those targets, and penalising those that miss them.”

Are there alternatives – and are they very expensive?

The question of alternatives to SF6 has been contentious over recent years.

For high-voltage applications, experts say there are very few solutions that have been rigorously tested.

“There is no real alternative that is proven,” said Prof Manu Haddad from the school of engineering at Cardiff University.

“There are some that are being proposed now but to prove their operation over a long period of time is a risk that many companies don’t want to take.”

However, for medium voltage operations there are several tried-and-tested materials. Some in the industry say that the conservative nature of the electrical industry is the key reason that few want to change to a less harmful alternative.

“I will tell you, everyone in this industry knows you can do this; there is not a technical reason not to do it,” said Louis Shaffer from Eaton.
“It’s not really economic; it’s more a question that change takes effort and if you don’t have to, you won’t do it.”

**Some companies are feeling the winds of change**

Sitting in the North Sea some 43km from the Suffolk coast, Scottish Power Renewables has installed one of world’s biggest wind farms where the turbines will be free of SF6 gas.

East Anglia One will see 102 of these towering generators erected, with the capacity to produce up to 714MW (megawatts) of power by 2020, enough to supply half a million homes.

![Image of wind farm](image)

At a total height of 167 metres, the turbines at East Anglia One are 71 metres taller than the Elizabeth Tower at the Houses of Parliament which houses Big Ben

Previously, an installation like this would have used switchgear supplied with SF6, to prevent the electrical accidents that can lead to fires.

Each turbine would normally have contained around 5kg of SF6, which, if it leaked into the atmosphere, would add the equivalent of around 117 tonnes of carbon dioxide. This is roughly the same as the annual emissions from 25 cars.

“In this case we are using a combination of clean air and vacuum technology within the turbine. It allows us to still have a very efficient, reliable, high-voltage network but to also be environmentally friendly,” said Costa Pirgousis from Scottish Power Renewables.

“Once there are viable alternatives on the market, there is no reason not to use them. In this case, we’ve got a viable alternative and that’s why we are using it.”
But even for companies that are trying to limit the use of SF6, there are still limitations. At the heart of East Anglia One sits a giant offshore substation to which all 102 turbines will connect. It still uses significant quantities of the highly warming gas.

What happens next?

The EU will review the use of SF6 next year and will examine whether alternatives are available. However, even the most optimistic experts don’t think that any ban is likely to be put in place before 2025.
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SF6, Cattle, and AI: the increasing need for an “AnaMin” policy in the EU

Credit: F.H. Campbell, CENTER OF NEURONAL REGROWTH - The Hague / New York City, Published on September 22, 2019, linkedin.com —

There are actions now taken legally, on behalf of France’s farmers alarmed at the profound number of deaths of their livestock in fields that host so-called alternative environmentally-friendly wind turbines. There are also human complaints internationally. In all, the cause is frequently reported as a “mystery”.

But, this is hardly the case- and in fact, I argue that it confirms the need to change two policies: 1. on the standard for cloud network repository-driven AI that received government funding to help healthcare and climate policy and, 2. an urgently needed Anaesthetic Minimization policy (“Ana Min”)

Here’s why:

The cause of deaths points to the accumulation and persistence of leaked Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF6) gas from turbines.

Turbines use the SF6 gas as part of combustion-risk retardant.

The gas is heavier than air.

And so, by its very nature, leaks of the gas immediately drop to the lowest points of elevation around the area of the turbine. Wherever the gas lands, it starts to pool in increasing concentrations, and easily inhaled by the cattle grazing farmland. It can continue to leak into underground holes or crates with tethered rabbit warrens, relatively undisturbed by lighter natural air that flows around it.

Turbine engineers and their manufacturers know gas leaks from the motor-equipment unit and accordingly their volumetric non-dissipation rate. The data is in their design and known to academic researchers as well.
Upon inhalation, SF6 gas exchange occurs within small pulmonary arteries no differently than gas exchange to do with oxygen, except that it has a lower solubility rate and it affects the brain (nervous system) like an unmonitored anaesthetic that will disrupt, impair or even kill depending on dosage. For this reason, it is a neurotoxin with properties that inevitably affect living humans and animal neuronal systems including related side-effects upon ingestion or inhalation at persistent levels of exposure.

Discharge of SF6 from the turbines can be proven to accumulate in stable periods throughout the day and night. #French livestock loses its hygiene rank when the byproducts of SF6 exposure is found in animals that have been left to suffer not just one day but, a whole year. The signs include disruption to genetic and hormonal signal processing essential for healthy tissue-level interaction. How did France handle affected-livestock? Were they fed to humans or other animals?

And, why have European government-sponsored agricultural and medical academic “centers of excellence” as well as pharmaceutical manufacturers not stepped up to help? They have access to data and the specifications, enough to well have predicted SF6 relationship to increasingly visible symptoms in cattle and humans internationally. Its uses, indications and warnings are all data-based evidence which is provided to research and user-endpoints wherever manufacturing technology performance and turbine operation safety are part of certification trials, or as part of medical surgical and pharmacological information disclosure, international trade distribution and storage labeling.

If the lack of active reaction was not due to data-awareness, then it was due to a lack of an effective Anaesthetic Minimization policy, i.e., one that would identify SF6 risks – and any other similar property-generating compound before it was allowed to be discharged into high level of exposure on the surface working level of our shared environment and as a highly likely cause of the neurological dysfunction seen by the victims to-date.

In general, an AnaMin policy would

- Substantially reduce risk of amnesiac-related cognitive impairment, including Alzheimer’s Disease and related inflammatory-disease type dementia by 75% within 4 years (a bold prediction but, it is proven with data starting from 1933 that did not occur in disease affecting majority geriatric or women and girls of prior centuries).

- Reduce climate-change #globalwarming impact by 5-16% or higher in certain industries.
• Lead to much more curative medical standards of innovation in markets with surgical alternatives and cost-saving choices for ageing populations.

I am sorry the EU has no such policy.

I am also sorry that the data is there but, The correct healthcare and climate policy is not.

If these were in place, SF6 risk-factors would have popped up in a cellular geotimestamp on any research AI that was using #Google or #Copernicus tools, including proportional leakage volumetric dispersions calculated downstream and mappable to health risk levels for the farmers’ cattle. This should not to be confused with AI that is commercially promoted for higher-speed or accelerated storage-retrieval metrics, even if such are impressive for XR/VR endeavors on #NVIDIA servers. To me, today’s AI algorithms resemble what was built in the 1990s, still built for virtual models, still largely isolated and insensitive to “real” discrimination realities, some of which include the very corruption of their own data.

They are not able to predict relationships between hidden turbine gas leaks and cattle neurological dysfunction because they were migrated without intelligent sensitivity to real behaviors in diverse future scenarios, including those far outside the bandwidth of the developer team’s project goals.

At the very least, I hope this post can help clarify the so-called “mystery” between turbines and cattle in France.

If so, there are interim solutions that are pretty obvious to prevent more deaths and discomfort internationally. I do not need to describe those here.

F. Hanna Campbell, M.E., B.E.
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